Three solutions for Exercise 10-1

Isao Sasano

2015 June 16

Exercise Approximate a column vector $\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ by a linear combination

of the column vectors $\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ (i.e., $\sum_{k=1}^{2} c_k \mathbf{e}_k = c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{e}_1$)

 $c_2 e_2$ for some c_1 and c_2). That is, obtain c_1 and c_2 so that $c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2$ is closest to \boldsymbol{u} . As for the measure of the distance, use (the half of) the square of the norm of the difference of $c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2$ and \boldsymbol{u} .

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_k \boldsymbol{e}_k - \boldsymbol{u} \right\|^2$$

The norm of a column vector $\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$ is defined as follows.

$$\|m{x}\| = \sqrt{(m{x},m{x})} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^3 x_k^2}$$

We show three solutions. One is by solving $\frac{\partial J}{\partial c_i} = 0$ for i = 1, 2, as we did in Exercise 4. Another is by considering the special case. The other is by calculating the projection of \boldsymbol{u} on the subspace spanned by \boldsymbol{e}_1 and \boldsymbol{e}_2 .

Solution 1 Firstly calculate J as follows.

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k} - \boldsymbol{u} \right\|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k} - \boldsymbol{u}, \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k} - \boldsymbol{u} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k}, \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k} \right) - 2 \left(\boldsymbol{u}, \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k} \right) + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^{2} c_{k} c_{l} (\boldsymbol{e}_{k}, \boldsymbol{e}_{l}) - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k} (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_{k}) + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^{2} \right\}$$

Partially differenciate this with respect to c_i (i = 1, 2).

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial c_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial c_i} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^2 c_k c_l(\boldsymbol{e}_k, \boldsymbol{e}_l) - 2 \sum_{k=1}^2 c_k(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_k) + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial c_i} \sum_{k,l=1}^2 c_k c_l(\boldsymbol{e}_k, \boldsymbol{e}_l) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial c_i} \sum_{k=1}^2 c_k(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_k) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2 \sum_{k=1}^2 c_k(\boldsymbol{e}_k, \boldsymbol{e}_i) - 2(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_i) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^2 c_k(\boldsymbol{e}_k, \boldsymbol{e}_i) - (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_i)$$

By writing $\frac{\partial J}{\partial c_1}=0$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial c_2}=0$ in matrix form, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_1) & (\boldsymbol{e}_2, \boldsymbol{e}_1) \\ (\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2) & (\boldsymbol{e}_2, \boldsymbol{e}_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_1) \\ (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since e_1 and e_2 are orthogonal, we obtain

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \|\boldsymbol{e}_1\|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \|\boldsymbol{e}_2\|^2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_1) \\ (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{e}_2) \end{array}\right).$$

Hence we obtain $c_1 = \frac{(u, e_1)}{\|e_1\|^2} = \frac{10}{3}$ and $c_2 = \frac{(u, e_2)}{\|e_2\|^2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus the linear

combination of e_1 and e_2 that is closest to the vector u is obtained as follows.

$$c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + c_1 \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{10}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 23/6 \\ 10/3 \\ 17/6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution 2 Assume the following equation holds.

$$\boldsymbol{u} = c_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1 + c_2 \boldsymbol{e}_2$$

(There is actually no coefficients c_1 and c_2 that satisfy the equation, but it's ok.) Take the inner produce with e_1 and e_2 in the both side.

$$(u, e_1) = c_1(e_1, e_1) + c_2(e_2, e_1)$$

 $(u, e_2) = c_1(e_1, e_2) + c_2(e_2, e_2)$

We write the above two equations in the matrix form.

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} (oldsymbol{e}_1,oldsymbol{e}_1) & (oldsymbol{e}_2,oldsymbol{e}_1) \ (oldsymbol{e}_1,oldsymbol{e}_2) & (oldsymbol{e}_2,oldsymbol{e}_2) \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} c_1 \ c_2 \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{cc} (oldsymbol{u},oldsymbol{e}_1) \ (oldsymbol{u},oldsymbol{e}_2) \end{array}
ight)$$

Notice that this is the same as the one obtained in Solution 1. So we omit the remaining calculation.

Solution 3

A linear combination of e_1 and e_2 is written as $c_1e_1 + c_2e_2$. It is closest to \boldsymbol{u} when it is the projection of \boldsymbol{u} on the subspace spanned by \boldsymbol{e}_1 and \boldsymbol{e}_2 . That is, the vector $\boldsymbol{u} - (c_1\boldsymbol{e}_1 + c_2\boldsymbol{e}_2)$ is orthogonal to the subspace spanned by \boldsymbol{e}_1 and \boldsymbol{e}_2 . So the following two equations should hold.

$$(\mathbf{u} - (c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + c_2\mathbf{e}_2), \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$$

 $(\mathbf{u} - (c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + c_2\mathbf{e}_2), \mathbf{e}_2) = 0$

We expand the inner product in each of the equations.

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_1) - (c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$$

 $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_2) - (c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) = 0$

We move the second inner product into RHS in each of the equations.

$$(u, e_1) = (c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2, e_1)$$

 $(u, e_2) = (c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2, e_2)$

We expand the inner product in the RHS in each of the equations.

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_1) = c_1(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) + c_2(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1)$$

 $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_2) = c_1(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) + c_2(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2)$

We write the above two equations in the matrix form.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} (\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{e}_1) & (\boldsymbol{e}_2,\boldsymbol{e}_1) \\ (\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{e}_2) & (\boldsymbol{e}_2,\boldsymbol{e}_2) \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} (\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{e}_1) \\ (\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{e}_2) \end{array}\right)$$

Notice that this is the same as the one obtained in Solution 1 and 2. So we omit the remaining calculation.